**Recommendation Form**

CEITEC Life Sciences PhD Programme

Dear Colleague,

Please help us evaluate this candidate, who has chosen you as one of two referees, to support his/her application for Life Sciences PhD Programme.

We kindly ask you to fill in the attached recommendation form – please state your comment as well as rating for particular criteria in part 3 as indicated. Please return the form to us directly by email (please use your institutional email address) or by post (if by post, please use official letterhead). Your recommendation will be handled in confidence.

Please note that the form must be delivered by the deadline set on **15 March 2019**, otherwise the candidate’s application will not be valid.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Yours faithfully,

Ing. Katerina Ornerova, Ph.D.

Head of Strategy and Science Department

CEITEC MU

This form may be returned **by post** to:

Strategy and Science Department
CEITEC Masaryk University
Kamenice 5/A35
62500 Brno
Czech Republic

**or by email** to:

phd@ceitec.muni.cz

1. **Referee**

Name:

Institution:

Position:

Relationship with the candidate at the time of association:
(teacher, advisor, employer, etc.)

Date of association with candidate:

Number of students supervised during your career:

Email:

Telephone:

1. **Candidate**

Name:

1. **Letter of Recommendation and Rating**

Please write your opinion on the candidate as his/her supervisor, mentor etc. and include specific information about the candidate's personal characteristics and skills.

Structured reference is mandatory, otherwise will not be considered. The reference should not exceed 3600 characters (without spaces).

Please rate the candidate in each category based on a comparison with other students you have encountered:

**1** – outstanding, **2** – excellent, **3** – great, **4** – very good, **5** – good, **6** – average

1. **Orientation in the field, theoretical knowledge overall, technical and practical skills, ability of intensive work on the project** (completing assignments in a thorough, accurate, reliable and timely manner, exhibiting concern for the goals, using time productively)
Comment:

Rating:
Theoretical knowledge in Life Science: 1 2 3 4 5 6
Theoretical knowledge overall: 1 2 3 4 5 6
Technical and practical skills 1 2 3 4 5 6

X

1. **Ability to work independently, the scope of independent thinking, research analytical abilities**
Comment:

Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 6
2. **Ability to work in a team**
Comment:

Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 6
3. **Adaptability and aptitude to follow the rules**
Comment:

Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 6
4. **Communicative and social skills** - ability to inform objectively and reliably on the procedures of the project and capability to communicate and write effectively (particularly with regard to publication in scientific journals)
Comment:

Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 6
5. **Candidate’s top strengths**
Comment:
6. **Would you have been willing to supervise this PhD candidate if he had applied to you?**
Yes No
Please comment on why: